metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Roxan U. Richards-Johnson,^a Ishenkumba A. Kahwa^a* and Alan J. Lough^b

^aChemistry Department, University of the West Indies, Mona Campus, Kingston 7, Jamaica, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 3H6

Correspondence e-mail: ikahwa@uwimona.edu.jm

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 150 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.033 wR factor = 0.082 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Aqua[N,N',N'',N'''-tetrakis(2-hydroxyethyl)-1,4,7,10-tetrazacyclododecane- $\kappa^4 N$]neodymium(III) tris(perchlorate) 1.25-hydrate

The asymmetric unit of the title structure, $[Nd(C_{16}H_{36}N_4O_4)-(H_2O)](ClO_4)_3\cdot 1.25H_2O$, (I), contains two independent Nd^{III} cations, six perchlorate anions and two and a half solvent water molecules. Compound (I) is a product of a program exploring the formation of polynuclear metallo-nanoclusters from oligomerization of aziridineethanol in the presence of lanthanide(III) salts. Under moderately acidic or basic solutions protonation of alcoholic sites is maintained and no oligomerization *via* bridging alcoholic sites is found. The crystal structure contains a three-dimensional network of O– $H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds.

Comment

The title compound, (I), was obtained from oligomerization of 1-aziridineethanol (H2) designed to produce polynuclear lanthanide(III) nanoclusters (Thompson *et al.*, 2001). Oligomerization of 1-aziridineethanol in the presence of lanthanide(III) salts usually results in a variety of mononuclear and polynuclear complexes (Thompson *et al.*, 2003) of the dominant tetrameric product H₄L (Ham & Krause, 1978), depending on the reaction conditions. In this case, oligomerization was allowed to proceed under strongly basic conditions in which insoluble hydroxides were deposited and the mixture filtered. When allowed to evaporate, the filtrate deposited crystals of (I) in about 15% yield.



There are two independent Nd^{III} complexes in the asymmetric unit, which are illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2. The asymmetric unit also contains six perchlorate anions and two and a half solvent water molecules. The propensity of Ln³⁺ ions in lanthanide(III) complexes of H₄L to achieve coordination number 9 is demonstrated by coordination of a water molecule to Nd^{III} (O5A and O5B). The absolute stereochemistry of chelate H₄L is $\Lambda(\lambda\lambda\lambda)$ for the chelate at Nd1A, whereas it is $\Delta(\delta\delta\delta)$ at Nd1B. However, the coordination polyhedra at both sites have distorted $C_{4\nu}$ symmetry. Selected metal–oxy-

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved Received 7 October 2003 Accepted 13 October 2003 Online 23 October 2003



Figure 1

View of molecule A of (I), showing the labeling scheme and ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. H atoms bonded to C atoms have been omitted.



Figure 2

View of molecule B of (I), showing the labeling scheme and ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level. H atoms bonded to C atoms have been omitted.

gen bond distances are given in Table 1. The three-dimensional $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen-bonding network in the crystal structure of (I) is illustrated in Fig. 3 and details of the geometry are given in Table 2. The crystal packing is illustrated in Fig. 4.

Experimental

Because of our preparative interest in nanoclusters, two solutions were used as follows. Solution A: 10 ml of a 0.39 M solution of NaOH was added dropwise to a vigorously stirring and boiling solution of Nd(ClO₄)₃·nH₂O (15.7 mmol) dissolved in 16 ml distilled water. The



Figure 3

View of the hydrogen bonding (dashed lines) in (I) corresponding to Table 2. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.



Figure 4

Packing diagram (Spek, 2003), viewed approximately along the a axis. Colour codes: green Nd, yellow Cl, red O, blue N, and black C. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

volume of the mixture was reduced to about 5 ml and filtered. Solution *B*: Nd(ClO₄)₃ (1.1 mmol) was dissolved in 45 ml of a 3:1 acetonitrile–methanol solution and added dropwise (2–3 h) to 2 mmol 1-aziridineethanol refluxing in 100 ml of the acetonitrile– methanol solution. Reflux was continued for 3 d, after which the volume of the solution was reduced to about 70 ml. Approximately 2.5 ml of solution *A* was dissolved in 20 ml of acetonitrile and added dropwise to the refluxing solution *B*. Reflux was continued for about one week, during which time a precipitate was deposited and filtered off; slow evaporation of the filtrate yielded purple needle-like crystals of (I) in *ca* 15% yield. Analysis calculated for C₁₆H_{40.50}Cl₃N₄NdO_{18.25}: C 23.1, H 4.9, N 6.7%; found: C 22.23, H 4.53, N 6.47%.

metal-organic papers

Crystal data

$[N_{4}(C, H, N, O)/(H, O)]/(C O)$	$D = 1.827 \mathrm{Mg}\mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$[INU(C_{16}\Pi_{36}IN_4O_4)(\Pi_2O)](CIO_4)_3$	$D_x = 1.657$ Mg III
$1.25H_2O$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 831.61$	Cell parameters from 13799
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	reflections
$a = 17.3365 (2) \text{\AA}$	$\theta = 2.6-27.5^{\circ}$
b = 20.7247 (2) Å	$\mu = 2.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 17.8135 (2) Å	T = 150 (1) K
$\beta = 109.969 \ (1)^{\circ}$	Block, purple
$V = 6015.48 (11) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.36 \times 0.32 \times 0.32$ mm
Z = 8	

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer φ scans and ω scans with κ offsets Absorption correction: multi-scan (*DENZO-SMN*; Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) $T_{min} = 0.485, T_{max} = 0.517$ 60586 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0246P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$	+ 16.909P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.082$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
13750 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 2.07 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
798 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.02 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H atoms: see below	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å).

Nd1A-O1A	2.448 (2)	Nd1B - O3B	2.441 (2)
Nd1A - O4A	2.455 (2)	Nd1B - O1B	2.445 (2)
Nd1A - O3A	2.475 (2)	Nd1B - O2B	2.446 (2)
Nd1A - O2A	2.477 (2)	Nd1B - O4B	2.467 (2)
Nd1A - O5A	2.520 (3)	Nd1B - O5B	2.566 (2)
Nd1A - N2A	2.684 (3)	Nd1B-N4B	2.679 (3)
Nd1A - N4A	2.687 (3)	Nd1B - N2B	2.688 (3)
Nd1A - N1A	2.696 (3)	Nd1B - N3B	2.692 (3)
Nd1A - N3A	2.700 (3)	Nd1B - N1B	2.692 (3)

13750 independent reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.034$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$

 $h = -22 \rightarrow 22$

 $k = -26 \rightarrow 26$

 $l = -23 \rightarrow 21$

11579 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Ta	ble	2
----	-----	---

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$O1A - H1A \cdots O1W$	0.95	1.77	2.707 (3)	170
$O1B - H1B \cdots O3W$	0.95	2.42	3.100 (3)	128
$O1B - H1B \cdots O10S^{i}$	0.95	2.05	2.827 (5)	138
$O2A - H2A \cdots O24S^{ii}$	0.95	1.99	2.848 (4)	150
$O2B - H2B \cdot \cdot \cdot O2W$	0.95	1.70	2.648 (4)	172
$O3A - H3A \cdots O13S^{iii}$	0.95	2.18	3.096 (5)	163
$O3A - H3A \cdots O14S^{iii}$	0.95	2.19	2.916 (4)	132
$O4A - H4A \cdots O1S$	0.95	1.92	2.792 (4)	151
$O5A - H5OA \cdots O1W$	0.84	1.91	2.718 (4)	162
$O5A - H5OB \cdot \cdot \cdot O13S^{iii}$	0.84	2.14	2.923 (4)	156
$O5B-H5OD\cdots O2W$	0.84	2.19	2.957 (5)	151
$O5B-H5OD\cdots O3W$	0.84	2.12	2.741 (7)	130
$O3B - H3B \cdot \cdot \cdot O11S$	0.95	1.88	2.750 (4)	150
$O4B - H4B \cdots O3S$	0.95	1.83	2.746 (3)	163
$O5B-H5OC\cdots O4S$	0.84	2.15	2.980(4)	172
$O1W-H1WA\cdots O20S$	0.84	1.98	2.811 (4)	172
$O1W-H1WB\cdots O16S$	0.84	2.06	2.825 (4)	152
$O2W - H2WA \cdots O6S$	0.84	2.00	2.838 (6)	175
$O2W - H2WB \cdot \cdot \cdot O23S$	0.84	2.15	2.936 (5)	155
$O3W-H3WB\cdots O8S$	0.84	2.07	2.912 (5)	180
$O3W-H3WB\cdots O5S$	0.84	2.46	2.971 (8)	120
$O3W-H3WA\cdots O9S^{i}$	0.84	2.00	2.844 (7)	180

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (ii) 1 + x, y, z; (iii) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

H atoms bonded to C atoms, as well as the H atoms of the hydroxyl groups (O1, O2, O3 and O4), were placed in calculated positions with C-H distances of 0.99 Å and O-H distances of 0.95 Å. They were included in the refinement in riding-model approximation, with $U_{iso} =$ $1.2U_{eq}$ of the carrier atom. H atoms bonded to the O atoms of coordinated (O5) and solvent water molecules (O1W and O2W) were refined independently with isotropic displacement parameters, but the O-H distances were restrained to be 0.840 (1) A. The intramolecular H...H distances of both solvent water molecules were restrained to be the same. The H atoms of the half-occupancy water molecule (O3W) were placed in calculated positions so as to give sensible hydrogen-bonding geometry, but their coordinates were not refined; $U_{iso} = 1.5U_{eq}(O3W)$. The highest peak in the final difference Fourier map was 1.12 Å from O5S. However, the perchlorate anion containing O5S was not considered to be disordered despite some O atoms having relatively high anisotropic displacement parameters. A similar situation was observed for perchlorate Cl3(O9S-O12S). The deepest hole was 0.81 Å from Nd1B.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1997–2002); cell refinement: *DENZO–SMN* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO–SMN*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2001); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

We thank the Board for Graduate Studies and Research University of the West Indies for supporting the work. The authors acknowledge NSERC Canada and the University of Toronto for funding.

References

- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115–119.
- Ham, G. E. & Krause, R. L. (1978). Patent No. Usp 4 093 615 (Cl.260-239BC; Co7D255/02), June 6.
- Nonius (1997-2002). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Methods in Enzymology, Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr and R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2001). SHELXTL/PC. Version 6.12 for Windows NT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.
- Thompson, M. K., Lough, A. J., White, A. J. P., Williams, D. J. & Kahwa, I. A. (2003). *Inorg. Chem.* 42, 4828–4811.
- Thompson, M. K., Vuchkov, M. & Kahwa, I. A. (2001). Inorg. Chem. 40, 4332– 4341.